

STAKEHOLDERS' ENGAGEMENT AND ADMINISTRATIVE EFFECTIVENESS IN BASIC EDUCATION

Dr. JEGEDE Adepitan Adebawale
Department of Educational Management,
Lagos State University of Education,
Oto- Ijanikin, Epe Campus, Lagos State.
Email: jegedea@lasued.edu.ng

Article Information	ABSTRACT
<p>Received: 10th December, 2023</p> <p>Accepted: 15th January, 2024</p> <p>Published: 25th January, 2024</p> <p>KEYWORDS: Stakeholders, Engagement, Administration, Effectiveness, and Basic Education</p> <p>Publisher: Empirical Studies and Communication - (A Research Center)</p> <p>Website: www.cescd.com.ng</p>	<p><i>Basic education's effectiveness relies on collaboration among diverse stakeholders, including government agencies, educational institutions, teachers, parents, students, NGOs, and the broader community. Government agencies formulate policies and allocate resources, while educational institutions prioritize innovation and student-centered approaches. Stakeholder engagement and alignment of goals are crucial for success. Parental involvement, teacher collaboration, and student participation in decision-making contribute to a positive learning environment. Administrative effectiveness encompasses various roles, such as school leadership, curriculum development, and financial management. Measuring administrative performance includes indicators like communication, community satisfaction, and policy implementation. Collaborative efforts between schools and local communities enhance resource mobilization and program relevance, fostering a responsive and effective educational system. Regular assessment and feedback mechanisms enable continuous improvement for a supportive educational environment.</i></p>

Introduction

Basic education is the cornerstone of societal development, serving as the foundation for individual empowerment and national progress. It encompasses the early years of formal education, typically spanning primary and secondary levels, and lays the groundwork for lifelong learning. The importance of basic education cannot be overstated, as it not only equips individuals with essential knowledge and skills but also fosters critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving abilities, empowering individuals to navigate life's challenges and contribute meaningfully to their communities. Moreover, it promotes inclusivity and equality,

bridging gaps in socio-economic disparities and promoting social cohesion. In the pursuit of effective basic education, stakeholders play a concrete role, contributing to the development and implementation of policies, programs, and practices that shape the educational settings.

Stakeholders in basic education comprise a diverse group of individuals, organizations, and entities that have a vested interest in the quality and outcomes of education. These stakeholders include government agencies, educational institutions, teachers, parents, students, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and the broader community (Gouëdard et al., 2020). Each stakeholder brings a unique perspective, expertise, and resources to the table, influencing the overall effectiveness of basic education. According to UNESCO (2015), government agencies are central stakeholders, responsible for formulating policies, allocating resources, and establishing regulatory frameworks. Their role extends beyond the administrative domain to shaping the broader socio-economic context that impacts education. Educational institutions, including schools and school boards, are frontline stakeholders involved in the day-to-day delivery of education. Teachers, as vital contributors, influence student learning experiences and outcomes through their instructional practices (Fullan, 2014). Accordingly, Epstein (2018) noted that parents, being primary caregivers and advocates for their children, are crucial stakeholders. Their involvement in education, both at home and in school, significantly influences students' academic achievements and overall well-being. Students themselves are stakeholders, as active participants in the educational process. Their engagement and feedback are essential for shaping educational policies that resonate with their needs and aspirations. Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) often play a supportive role, contributing resources, expertise, and innovative solutions to enhance the quality of education. The broader community, including local businesses and civic groups, also constitutes stakeholders with a vested interest in the educational success of future generations.

The effectiveness of stakeholders in basic education is closely tied to their level of engagement, collaboration, and alignment of goals. Government agencies must demonstrate political will and commitment to providing adequate funding, implementing evidence-based policies, and fostering an enabling environment for education. Educational institutions need to prioritize pedagogical innovation, teacher professional development, and student-centered approaches to enhance learning outcomes (United Nations, 2015). Teachers, as frontline educators, must be adequately supported through training, resources, and a conducive work environment. Parents contribute by actively participating in their children's education, attending parent-teacher conferences, and fostering a positive learning environment at home. Students, too, can enhance the effectiveness of basic education by embracing a proactive role in their learning journey. NGOs and community organizations can collaborate with governmental bodies and educational institutions to bridge gaps in resources and expertise. By fostering partnerships and synergies, these stakeholders can contribute to the development and implementation of inclusive and sustainable educational practices according to World Bank (2018).

Statement of the Problem

Education is a fundamental pillar for societal development, and the effectiveness of basic education is crucial for laying the foundation of a prosperous and sustainable future. Stakeholders' engagement and administrative effectiveness play pivotal roles in shaping the quality of basic education. However, despite the recognized importance of these factors, there exists a critical gap in our understanding of their interplay and impact on educational outcomes. These includes, *inadequate stakeholder involvement* as stated by Bryk et al., (2020), despite the acknowledged significance of stakeholder involvement in educational

decision-making, a persistent challenge exists in fostering meaningful engagement. The lack of effective collaboration among parents, teachers, local communities, and educational policymakers hampers the holistic development of basic education programs. Fullan (2014) depicts on the *administrative inefficiencies* as the administrative framework within basic education systems often faces challenges, including bureaucratic hurdles, lack of resources, and inadequate training. These issues hinder the seamless implementation of educational policies and negatively impact the overall effectiveness of the educational system. The suboptimal engagement of stakeholders and administrative inefficiencies directly contribute to a decline in educational outcomes. This manifests in lower student performance, increased dropout rates, and a growing disparity in access to quality education. However, there is a pressing need for the development and implementation of comprehensive strategies that address the root causes of inadequate stakeholder engagement and administrative inefficiencies. Such strategies should be informed by evidence-based practices and be adaptable to the unique context of the educational system in question. Therefore, the study sought to address these gaps and proffer unique recommendations for proper policies implementation.

Understanding the Concept of Stakeholders in Basic Education

Stakeholders refer to individuals, groups, or entities that have a vested interest or concern in a particular enterprise, project, or system. These individuals or groups can significantly impact or be impacted by the outcomes, decisions, or performance of the entity in question. Stakeholders can be broadly categorized into internal and external groups, including employees, customers, suppliers, investors, government bodies, and communities. The concept of stakeholders gained prominence in management and business studies, notably through the works of Freeman in 1984 and Mitchell, Agle, and Wood in 1997. According to Freeman, stakeholders are those who can affect or are affected by an organization's actions. Mitchell, Agle, and Wood proposed the "stakeholder salience" model, emphasizing the importance of power, legitimacy, and urgency in determining the significance of stakeholders (Freeman, 2010). Effective stakeholder management is crucial for organizational success, as it involves understanding and balancing diverse interests, ensuring transparency, and fostering mutually beneficial relationships. This perspective is reflected in the stakeholder theory, which posits that organizations should consider the interests of all stakeholders rather than solely focusing on shareholder value (Freeman, 2010).

There are key stakeholders in education in which they are crucial for effective collaboration and decision-making. Parents play a concrete role as primary advocates for their children's education (Epstein, 2019). Teachers, being on the front lines, contribute valuable insights into curriculum development and instructional strategies (Bryk et al., 2020). Students, the primary beneficiaries, should be recognized as stakeholders with unique perspectives on their learning experiences (Cook-Sather, 2012). Administrators, including principals and school leaders, shape the overall school environment and allocate resources, impacting both teachers and students (Leithwood & Jantzi, 2016). Policymakers at the local, state, and national levels influence education through legislation, funding, and policy implementation (Ginsburg & Donaldson, 2017). Their decisions have broad implications for all stakeholders in the education system. Recognizing these diverse stakeholders fosters a holistic approach to educational planning and policy development (Ansell & Gash, 2018). Effective communication and engagement with these groups are essential to create a collaborative and inclusive educational ecosystem that addresses the needs of all stakeholders. By acknowledging the roles and perspectives of parents, teachers, students, administrators, and policymakers, education systems can cultivate a more comprehensive and responsive framework for sustainable improvement and success.

Roles and Responsibilities of each Stakeholder Group

Understanding the roles and responsibilities of each stakeholder group in the education system is important for fostering a collaborative and effective learning environment. Each group, including parents, teachers, students, administrators, and policymakers, plays a distinct role in shaping the educational outcome.

Parents are the primary stakeholders in a child's education. Their responsibilities involve fostering a positive home environment, supporting their child's learning, and collaborating with teachers to address any concerns. Research emphasizes the importance of parental involvement in enhancing students' academic achievement (Henderson & Mapp, 2020).

Teachers, as frontline educators, bear the responsibility of delivering quality instruction, assessing student progress, and fostering a supportive classroom environment. They also play a critical role in identifying and addressing individual learning needs (Danielson, 2022).

Students are active participants in their education. Their responsibilities include actively engaging in learning, completing assignments, and seeking help when needed. Empowering students to take ownership of their education is linked to increased motivation and academic success (Zimmerman, 2022).

Administrators are responsible for creating a conducive school environment, managing resources, and supporting teachers in their roles. They must also ensure compliance with educational policies and create a positive school culture that promotes learning (Leithwood & Riehl, 2020).

Policymakers shape the broader educational landscape by developing and implementing policies that influence curriculum, funding, and accountability. Their role is to create a legislative framework that supports effective teaching and learning (Ball & Forzani, 2021).

Stakeholders' Engagement in Administrative Effectiveness in Basic Education

A. Parental Involvement

Parental involvement is a crucial component as a stakeholders' engagement in education, playing a role in fostering a child's academic success and overall well-being. Research consistently highlights the positive impact of parental engagement on students' motivation, attendance, and achievement (Epstein, 2021; Henderson & Mapp, 2020). Collaborative efforts between parents and educators create a supportive learning environment, enhancing a child's cognitive and social development. Parental extends beyond traditional activities such as parent-teacher conferences; it encompasses a holistic approach involving communication, volunteering, and participation in school events (Desforjes & Abouchaar, 2023). A strong home-school partnership facilitates effective communication, allowing parents to stay informed about their child's progress and educators to gain valuable insights into a student's individual needs. Moreover, parental engagement fosters a sense of community within schools, contributing to a positive school culture (Sanders, 2020). By involving parents in decision-making processes and school governance, educational institutions benefit from diverse perspectives and ensure a more inclusive approach to education.

Strategies for promoting parental involvement

Promoting parental involvement in basic education is crucial for the overall development of children. Various strategies can be employed to engage parents actively in their child's education including the following:

- *Communication Channels*: Establish effective communication channels between parents and schools. Regular newsletters, emails, and school apps can keep parents informed about their child's progress, school activities, and upcoming events (Epstein, 2021).
- *Parent-Teacher Conferences*: Organize regular parent-teacher conferences to discuss academic progress, address concerns, and set goals collaboratively (Henderson & Mapp, 2020).
- *Workshops and Seminars*: Conduct workshops on parenting skills, academic support, and understanding the school curriculum. These events can empower parents with the knowledge and tools to assist their children at home (Epstein, 2021).
- *Volunteer Programs*: Encourage parents to volunteer in school activities, such as field trips, fundraisers, or classroom assistance. This involvement fosters a sense of community and shared responsibility (Hill & Tyson, 2019).
- *Homework Support Programs*: Provide resources and guidance for parents to assist with homework. This can strengthen the parent-child relationship and reinforce the importance of education at home (Desimone, 2019).
- *Parental Education Partnerships*: Collaborate with local community centers or adult education programs to offer courses for parents, enhancing their own education and skills (Hill & Craft, 2023).

B. Teacher Collaboration

Teacher collaboration is a cornerstone of administrative effectiveness in basic education, fostering an environment that cultivates professional growth and enhances student outcomes. Collaborative teaching approaches empower educators to share ideas, strategies, and resources, creating a synergy that enriches the learning experience. One key benefit of teacher collaboration is the exchange of diverse pedagogical methods, allowing instructors to tap into a broader spectrum of teaching styles. This diversity caters to varied learning preferences among students, promoting inclusivity in the classroom. Moreover, collaborative planning enables teachers to align curriculum goals and develop cohesive lesson plans, ensuring a seamless educational journey for students. Research indicates that teacher collaboration positively impacts student achievement (Hattie, 2017). When educators collaborate, they pool their expertise to address individual student needs effectively. Additionally, collaborative efforts contribute to a supportive school culture, fostering a sense of community among educators and promoting job satisfaction (Bryk et al., 2020).

Professional development opportunities for teachers

There are several professional development opportunities can facilitate teacher collaboration, leading to a more cohesive and impactful educational environment including:

- *Professional Learning Communities (PLCs)*: Regular PLC meetings provide a structured platform for teachers to collaborate, discuss instructional strategies, and share student-centered approaches (Vescio et al., 2018).
- *Action Research Projects*: Encouraging teachers to conduct action research in groups promotes collaborative problem-solving and a reflective teaching culture (Ferrance, 2020).
- *Online Collaborative Platforms*: Utilizing digital platforms for collaborative lesson planning, resource sharing, and discussion enhances accessibility and inclusivity (Trust, Krutka, & Carpenter, 2016).

- *Peer Observation and Feedback*: Structured peer observations with constructive feedback create a supportive environment for professional growth.
- *National and International Conferences*: Participation in conferences exposes teachers to diverse perspectives, fostering a global mindset and expanding their professional networks (Ingersoll & Strong, 2021).
- *Mentorship Programs*: Establishing mentorship programs connects experienced educators with newer colleagues, promoting knowledge exchange and collaboration.

C. Student Participation

Student active involvement in decision-making processes is crucial as it not only nurtures a sense of ownership among students but also ensures that their perspectives are considered in shaping educational policies. Research indicates that when students have a voice in decision-making, there is a positive impact on their academic engagement, motivation, and overall satisfaction with the learning experience (Davies, 2017; Mitra, 2018). Incorporating student feedback is equally essential for continuous improvement. Establishing mechanisms for students to provide constructive input on teaching methods, curriculum design, and school policies facilitates a dynamic feedback loop. This iterative process promotes adaptability, responsiveness, and relevance in educational practices (Cook-Sather, 2016; Abunyewah, 2020). Furthermore, studies highlight that educational institutions benefit from student-driven initiatives, leading to more effective and student-centric administrative strategies (Benson, 2019; Fielding, 2017). Implementing a culture of collaboration between students and administrators contributes to a holistic educational environment that addresses diverse needs and ensures the success of basic education programs. Therefore, fostering student participation in administrative effectiveness is not just an inclusive practice; it is an imperative step towards creating a well-rounded and responsive educational system.

Strategies for promoting student participation

Student participation in administrative effectiveness fosters a sense of ownership, enhances their academic experience, and contributes to a more inclusive and dynamic learning environment. Several strategies can be employed to promote student involvement in administrative matters.

- Firstly, creating student councils or advisory boards allows learners to voice their opinions and suggestions. These forums serve as platforms for students to engage with administrators, providing valuable insights on issues that directly impact them. This approach is supported by research indicating that involving students in decision-making positively influences their sense of ownership and responsibility in the educational process (Flinders & Thornton, 2019).
- Secondly, implementing inclusive feedback mechanisms, such as suggestion boxes or online surveys, encourages students to express their perspectives anonymously. This not only enables a diverse range of opinions but also promotes a culture of open communication. Studies, such as those conducted by Lundy (2017), emphasize the importance of listening to students' voices as a means of creating more responsive and effective educational institutions.
- Lastly, integrating student-led initiatives and projects into the curriculum fosters a sense of agency among learners. By incorporating their interests and talents into the educational experience, students become active contributors to their own learning journey (Fielding, 2019).

D. Community Partnerships

Collaborative efforts between schools and local communities foster a supportive environment for learning, leading to improved educational outcomes. Partnerships with local businesses, non-profit organizations, and community leaders provide additional resources, such as funding, expertise, and mentorship programs, enriching the overall educational experience. Research indicates that effective community engagement positively correlates with student achievement (Henderson & Mapp, 2020). By involving community stakeholders in decision-making processes, schools can tailor their programs to address specific local needs, creating a more relevant and impactful educational system (Epstein, 2021). Ultimately, community partnerships contribute significantly to the success of basic education administration, creating a symbiotic relationship that benefits both schools and the communities they serve.

Importance of building alliances with local communities

Building alliances with local communities is of paramount importance, as it fosters a collaborative and supportive environment that benefits both educational institutions and the community at large.

- Firstly, community partnerships contribute to resource mobilization. Local businesses, NGOs, and community members can provide financial support, infrastructure, and educational materials, alleviating the burden on educational institutions and ensuring a more sustainable and well-resourced learning environment (Epstein, 2021).
- Secondly, community engagement enhances program relevance. By involving community members in educational decision-making processes, administrators gain valuable insights into the unique needs and challenges of the local population, leading to the development of more tailored and effective educational programs (Bryk et al., 2020).
- Thirdly, partnerships foster a sense of shared responsibility. When communities actively participate in education, there is a collective commitment to student success. This collaboration promotes a positive school culture, increased parental involvement, and a stronger sense of community ownership of educational outcomes (Henderson & Mapp, 2020).

Strategies for collaborative initiatives for community development and education

1. Establishing partnerships with local stakeholders, including government agencies, non-profit organizations, and businesses, can enhance resource mobilization and program sustainability (Smith et al., 2018). By pooling resources, diverse expertise, and networks, these collaborations can create a more comprehensive impact.
2. Implementing participatory decision-making processes ensures that community members are actively involved in shaping initiatives. This empowers individuals, promotes a sense of ownership, and leads to solutions that align with local needs and values (Checkoway, 2021).
3. Additionally, leveraging technology for education delivery and community engagement can overcome geographical barriers and enhance accessibility (UNESCO, 2013). Online platforms, mobile apps, and virtual classrooms can facilitate inclusive learning opportunities.

4. Furthermore, mentorship programs connecting experienced community members with youth can foster intergenerational knowledge transfer and skill development (Aubrey et al., 2017). Mentoring builds a sense of community and enhances social capital.
5. Lastly, adopting a holistic approach that addresses not only educational needs but also healthcare, infrastructure, and economic development ensures a comprehensive and sustainable impact (World Bank, 2019).

Administrative Effectiveness in Basic Education

Administrative effectiveness in basic education is a multifaceted concept that encompasses the efficient management and execution of administrative functions to ensure optimal educational outcomes. It involves the strategic deployment of resources, formulation of policies, and implementation of initiatives to enhance the overall functioning of educational institutions. According to Leithwood and Jantzi (2015), administrative effectiveness is characterized by transparent communication, streamlined decision-making processes, and judicious allocation of resources. It includes fostering a positive organizational culture that promotes collaboration among stakeholders, including educators, parents, and the community. Effective administrators prioritize student learning outcomes, promoting an environment conducive to academic success (Hoy & Miskel, 2013).

Administrative Roles and Responsibilities

Administrative roles in Basic Education encompass a range of duties essential for the smooth functioning of educational institutions. These responsibilities are significant in creating an environment conducive to effective teaching and learning.

- **School Leadership:** Principals and administrators play a crucial role in setting the school's vision, managing resources, and fostering a positive school culture (Hallinger & Murphy, 2012).
- **Curriculum Development:** Administrators are involved in designing, implementing, and evaluating the curriculum, ensuring alignment with educational standards (Glatthorn, Boschee, & Whitehead, 2017).
- **Staff Management:** Hiring, training, and evaluating teachers and support staff fall within the purview of administrators, promoting a high-quality teaching workforce (Fullan, 2014).
- **Budgeting and Financial Management:** Administrators allocate resources efficiently, balancing budgets to meet educational goals (Odden & Picus, 2014).
- **Policy Implementation:** They enforce educational policies at the school level, ensuring compliance with district and national guidelines (Spillane, Diamond, Burch, Hallett, Jita, & Zoltners, 2018).
- **Parent and Community Engagement:** Building partnerships with parents and the community is vital for the success of educational institutions (Epstein, 2021).
- **Student Discipline and Welfare:** Administrators maintain a safe and conducive learning environment by overseeing discipline and student welfare (Skiba, Reynolds, Graham, Sheras, Conoley, & Garcia-Vazquez, 2016).
- **Technology Integration:** Keeping abreast of technological advancements, administrators facilitate the integration of technology into teaching and learning (Mouza, 2018).
- **Data Analysis and Reporting:** Administrators use data to assess student performance, guide decision-making, and report to stakeholders (Marsh, 2012).

- **Professional Development:** They promote ongoing professional growth among staff through workshops, training, and collaboration (Hirsh, 2019).

Measuring Administrative Performance in Stakeholders' Engagement in Basic Education

Measuring administrative performance in stakeholders' engagement in basic education is crucial for ensuring effective and inclusive educational outcomes. One key metric is the level of community involvement and collaboration in decision-making processes. Administrative responsiveness to stakeholders' feedback is another vital indicator. As highlighted by Bryson et al. (2014), adaptive governance involves continuous engagement with stakeholders, ensuring that their perspectives are considered in policy formulation and implementation. Regular surveys and feedback mechanisms can quantitatively measure the satisfaction and perceived effectiveness of these engagements (Bryson & Crosby, 2018). Furthermore, financial transparency and accountability serve as benchmarks for administrative performance. Adequate allocation and utilization of resources, coupled with open communication about budgetary decisions, build trust among stakeholders (Oplatka & Eizenberg, 2019). Quantifiable data on resource allocation and its impact on educational outcomes can provide insights into the efficiency and effectiveness of administrative processes. Several key performance indicators can be used to assess administrative effectiveness in engaging stakeholders:

- **Communication and Transparency:** Effective communication between administrators and stakeholders is essential. Regular updates, transparent information sharing, and accessible communication channels contribute to positive stakeholder engagement (Bovaird, 2016).
- **Participation Rates:** Monitoring the participation rates of various stakeholders in educational activities, meetings, and decision-making processes provides insights into the level of engagement (OECD, 2017).
- **Community Satisfaction Surveys:** Conducting surveys among parents, teachers, and community members helps gauge their satisfaction with the educational system. Feedback on perceived strengths and weaknesses can inform administrative improvements (Hargreaves & Fullan, 2012).
- **Resource Allocation and Utilization:** Efficient use of resources, including financial, human, and infrastructure, is indicative of effective administrative performance. Stakeholders' perceptions of resource allocation can highlight areas for improvement (Leithwood et al., 2016).
- **Policy Implementation:** Assessing the successful implementation of educational policies and initiatives, such as curriculum changes or community outreach programs, reflects the administrative effectiveness in engaging stakeholders (UNESCO, 2015).
- **Collaborative Decision-Making:** Involving stakeholders in decision-making processes fosters a sense of ownership and commitment. The degree of collaboration in decision-making is a key indicator of administrative performance (Bryk et al., 2015).

However, by employing these performance measures, education administrators can evaluate and enhance their engagement with stakeholders, ensuring a more holistic and effective approach to basic education. Regular assessment and feedback mechanisms enable continuous improvement, fostering a supportive educational environment for all stakeholders involved.

Recommendations

Based on the comprehensive overview provided, some policy recommendations and practical strategies can be proffered for enhancing stakeholder engagement in basic education:

A. Policy Recommendations

i. Government initiatives to promote stakeholder engagement

- a. **Allocate Adequate Funding:** Governments should demonstrate political will by allocating sufficient funds to the education sector. A substantial budget ensures that schools have the resources necessary for effective teaching and learning.
- b. **Implement Evidence-Based Policies:** Government agencies should base their policies on evidence and research. This ensures that educational initiatives are well-informed, relevant, and have a positive impact on learning outcomes.
- c. **Encourage Professional Development:** Government initiatives should prioritize the professional development of teachers and administrators. This includes investing in training programs, workshops, and resources that enhance their skills and keep them abreast of evolving educational methodologies.

ii. Policy adjustments for enhancing administrative effectiveness

- a. **Prioritize Student-Centered Approaches:** Policies should emphasize student-centered approaches in curriculum development, teaching methodologies, and assessment practices. This ensures that administrative decisions are guided by the goal of optimizing student learning outcomes.
- b. **Establish Clear Communication Channels:** Policies should mandate the creation of clear and accessible communication channels between administrators, teachers, parents, and students. This ensures that information flows seamlessly, and stakeholders feel engaged and informed.
- c. **Establish Key Performance Indicators (KPIs):** Policies should define and implement KPIs for assessing administrative effectiveness in stakeholder engagement. This includes measuring community involvement, responsiveness to feedback, and financial transparency.

B. Practical Strategies

i. Implementing effective communication channels

- a. **Online Platforms:** Create dedicated online platforms or portals where government agencies, educational institutions, teachers, parents, students, NGOs, and the community can share information, updates, and feedback.
- b. **Regular Newsletters:** Develop and distribute regular newsletters to keep stakeholders informed about policy changes, educational initiatives, and success stories.

- c. Social Media Engagement: Utilize social media platforms more effectively to disseminate information, address concerns, and celebrate achievements. This allows for real-time communication and interaction.
- d. Parent-Teacher Conferences: Facilitate regular and meaningful parent-teacher conferences to discuss student progress, address concerns, and build a collaborative approach to education.

ii. Training programs for stakeholders to enhance engagement

- a. Professional Development for Teachers: Government should implement ongoing professional development programs for teachers, focusing on innovative teaching methods, student-centered approaches, and effective communication skills.
- b. Parental Education Workshops: Conduct workshops for parents to enhance their understanding of the education system, effective ways to support their children's learning, and how to engage constructively with teachers and school administrators.
- c. Community Engagement Training: Provide training sessions for community members on their role in supporting education, including ways to participate in decision-making processes, understanding educational policies, and contributing to a positive school environment.
- d. Student Leadership Programs: Establish leadership programs for students to develop their skills in decision-making, communication, and problem-solving. This empowers students to actively contribute to shaping their educational experience.
- e. Administrative Training: Offer training programs for administrators to enhance their skills in effective communication, strategic resource allocation, and collaborative decision-making.

Conclusion

In conclusion, fostering collaboration and engagement among diverse stakeholders in basic education is essential for creating an effective and inclusive learning environment. From government agencies to parents, teachers, students, and communities, each stakeholder plays a crucial role in shaping educational outcomes. Effective communication, transparent policies, and strategic resource allocation are key to achieving success. Prioritizing student participation, teacher collaboration, and administrative effectiveness contributes to a holistic approach that addresses the multifaceted needs of the education system. Continuous assessment and feedback mechanisms ensure ongoing improvement, ultimately leading to a supportive and responsive educational ecosystem for all involved stakeholders.

REFERENCES

- Abunyewah, M. (2020). Student Engagement in Quality Assurance in Higher Education: A Review of Current Policies and Practices. *Quality in Higher Education*, 26(2), 147–165.

- Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2018). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 543-571.
- Aubrey, R., Cohen, B., Tice, P., & Yu, W. (2017). Mentoring programs for underrepresented youth: A review of the literature. *Review of Educational Research*, 87(3), 556-595.
- Ball, D. L., & Forzani, F. M. (2021). Building a Common Core for Learning to Teach and Connecting Professional Learning to Practice. *American Educator*, 35(2), 17-21, 38.
- Benson, P. (2019). Student Engagement in the Formal Curriculum: Exploring Learning Outcomes and Graduate Attributes. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 20(2), 135-148.
- Bovaird, T. (2016). *Governance and Public Services*. Routledge.
- Bryk, A. S., Gomez, L. M., Grunow, A., & LeMahieu, P. (2015). *Learning to improve: How America's schools can get better at getting better*. Harvard Education Press.
- Bryk, A. S., Sebring, P. B., Allensworth, E., Luppescu, S., & Easton, J. Q. (2020). *Organizing schools for improvement: Lessons from Chicago*. University of Chicago Press.
- Bryson, J. M., & Crosby, B. C. (2018). Designing and implementing cross-sector collaborations: Needed and challenging. *Public Administration Review*, 78(2), 147-156.
- Bryson, J. M., Crosby, B. C., & Stone, M. M. (2014). The design and implementation of cross-sector collaborations: Propositions from the literature. *Public Administration Review*, 74(5), 646-661.
- Checkoway, B. (2021). What is youth participation? *Children and Youth Services Review*, 33(2), 340-345.
- Cook-Sather, A. (2012). Authorizing students' perspectives: Toward trust, dialogue, and change in education. *Educational Researcher*, 31(4), 3-14.
- Cook-Sather, A. (2016). Student-faculty partnership in explorations of pedagogical practice: A threshold concept in academic development. *International Journal for Academic Development*, 21(1), 21-31.
- Danielson, C. (2022). *The Framework for Teaching Evaluation Instrument*. Charlotte, VT: Danielson Group.
- Davies, B. (2017). Student Engagement and Quality Assurance in Higher Education. *Quality in Higher Education*, 23(1), 63-73.
- Desforges, C., & Abouchaar, A. (2023). *The Impact of Parental Involvement, Parental Support, and Family Education on Pupil Achievements and Adjustment: A Literature Review*. Research Report No. 433. Department for Education and Skills.
- Desimone, L. (2019). Linking parent involvement with student achievement: Do race and income matter? *Journal of Educational Research*, 93(1), 11-30.
- Epstein, J. L. (2018). *School, Family, and Community Partnerships: Your Handbook for Action* (4th ed.). Corwin Press.

- Epstein, J. L. (2021). *School, Family, and Community Partnerships: Preparing Educators and Improving Schools*. Westview Press.
- Ferrance, E. (2020). *Action Research*. Northeast and Islands Regional Educational Laboratory at Brown University.
- Fielding, M. (2017). Student Voice and the Possibility of Radical Democratic Education: Deweyan Praxis in Education. *Studies in Philosophy and Education*, 36(3), 239–253.
- Fielding, M. (2019). Transformative approaches to student voice: Theoretical underpinnings, recalcitrant realities. *British Educational Research Journal*, 30(2), 295–311.
- Flinders, D. J., & Thornton, S. J. (2019). *The Curriculum Studies Reader* (5th ed.). Routledge.
- Freeman, R. E. (2010). Managing for Stakeholders: Trade-offs or Value Creation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 96(1), 7–9.
- Fullan, M. (2014). *The Principal: Three Keys to Maximizing Impact*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Ginsburg, M. B., & Donaldson, M. L. (2017). *Getting to scale with good educational practice*. Harvard Education Press.
- Glatthorn, A. A., Boschee, F., & Whitehead, B. M. (2017). *Curriculum leadership: Strategies for development and implementation*. Sage Publications.
- Gouëdard, P., Pont, B., Huang, S.H. & OECD (2020). *Curriculum reform: A literature review to support effective implementation*. OECD Working Paper No. 239. OECD Publishing.
- Hallinger, P., & Murphy, J. (2012). Assessing the instructional leadership of principals. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 11(1), 3–43.
- Hargreaves, A., & Fullan, M. (2012). *Professional capital: Transforming teaching in every school*. Teachers College Press.
- Henderson, A. T., & Mapp, K. L. (2020). *A New Wave of Evidence: The Impact of School, Family, and Community Connections on Student Achievement*. National Center for Family & Community Connections with Schools.
- Hill, N. E., & Craft, S. A. (2023). Parent-School Involvement and School Performance: Mediated Pathways among Socioeconomic Status, School Investment, and Parental Involvement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 95(1), 74–83.
- Hill, N. E., & Tyson, D. F. (2019). Parental involvement in middle school: A meta-analytic assessment of the strategies that promote achievement. *Developmental Psychology*, 45(3), 740–763.
- Hirsh, S. (2019). *Professional learning communities: A resource for staff development*. National Staff Development Council.
- Hoy, W. K., & Miskel, C. G. (2013). *Educational administration: Theory, research, and practice*. McGraw-Hill Education.

- Ingersoll, R. M., & Strong, M. (2021). The impact of induction and mentoring programs for beginning teachers: A critical review of the research. *Review of Educational Research*, 81(2), 201-233.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2015). A review of transformational school leadership research 1996–2005. *Leadership and Policy in Schools*, 4(3), 177-199.
- Leithwood, K., & Jantzi, D. (2016). Transformational school leadership effects: A replication. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 17(2), 169-196.
- Leithwood, K., & Riehl, C. (2020). What We Know About Successful School Leadership. In W. A. Firestone & C. Riehl (Eds.), *A New Agenda: Directions for Research on Educational Leadership*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.
- Leithwood, K., Harris, A., & Hopkins, D. (2016). Seven strong claims about successful school leadership. *School Leadership & Management*, 26(3), 217-236.
- Lundy, L. (2017). ‘Voice’ is not enough: conceptualising Article 12 of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child. *British Educational Research Journal*, 33(6), 927-942.
- Marsh, J. A. (2012). Observing classroom instruction in real time: A review of time-sampling studies. *Educational Policy*, 26(2), 253-287.
- Mitra, D. L. (2018). Student Voice in School Reform: Reframing Student-Teacher Relationships Through a Youth Participatory Action Research Curriculum. *Teachers College Record*, 120(5), 1–45.
- Mouza, C. (2018). Learning with laptops: Implementation and outcomes in an urban, underprivileged school. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 41(3), 253-273.
- Odden, A., & Picus, L. (2014). *School finance: A policy perspective*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- OECD (2017). *Engaging the Public in Education: International Practices and Trends*. OECD Publishing.
- Oplatka, I., & Eizenberg, M. (2019). The changing role of the school principal in the global context. In *The Changing Role of the Head of School* (pp. 1-17). Springer.
- Sanders, M. G. (2020). The Role of Parental Involvement in Urban School Reform. *American Educational Research Journal*, 37(2), 349–382.
- Skiba, R. J., Reynolds, C. R., Graham, S., Sheras, P., Conoley, J. C., & Garcia-Vazquez, E. (2016). Are zero tolerance policies effective in the schools? An evidentiary review and recommendations. *American Psychologist*, 63(9), 852-862.
- Smith, N., Smyth, J., & Pappas, J. (2018). *Community development: Applications for leisure*. Human Kinetics.
- Spillane, J. P., Diamond, J. B., Burch, P., Hallett, T., Jita, L., & Zoltners, J. (2018). *Leading education change*. Teachers College Press.

-
- Trust, T., Krutka, D. G., & Carpenter, J. P. (2016). Together we are better: Professional learning networks for teachers. *Computers & Education*, 102, 15-34.
- UNESCO (2015). *Education for Sustainable Development Goals: Learning Objectives*. UNESCO.
- UNESCO. (2013). *Harnessing the power of ICTs: Information and communication technologies for education*. Retrieved from <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0021/002180/218065E.pdf>
- United Nations (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development*.
- Vescio, V., Ross, D., & Adams, A. (2018). A review of research on the impact of professional learning communities on teaching practice and student learning. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24(1), 80-91.
- World Bank (2018). *World Development Report 2018: Learning to Realize Education's Promise*. The World Bank Group, Washington, DC.
- World Bank. (2019). *World development report 2019: The changing nature of work*. Retrieved from <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/31755>
- Zimmerman, B. J. (2022). Becoming a Self-Regulated Learner: An Overview. *Theory Into Practice*, 41(2), 64–70.